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We and others have previously reported on the palladium-(II)-catalyzed alternating copolymerization of olefins with carbon monoxide. ${ }^{1}$ These polymers are of interest due to low monomer costs, potential photodegradability, ${ }^{2}$ and the presence of carbonyl groups capable of further functionalization. ${ }^{3}$ Specific interest in the alternating ethene-carbon monoxide copolymer ( $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{CO}$ copolymer) stems from its high mechanical strength ${ }^{4}$ and melting point, which result from its high crystallinity. ${ }^{5}$ Its commercial production is projected to start in $1996 .{ }^{6}$ Every system described thus far for the E-CO copolymer synthesis suffers from the presence of chain termination steps, such as $\beta$-hydrogen abstraction and protonolysis of Pd -alkyl intermediates, and alcoholysis of Pd -acyl intermediates. ${ }^{1}$ Herein, we describe a well-defined palladium(II) system that operates in aprotic solvents at ambient temperature to generate E-CO copolymers without chain termination. Furthermore, for the first time, it becomes possible to synthesize graft polymers with alt-E-CO blocks. We note that nonterminating alternating copolymerization of styrene and norbornene derivatives with carbon monoxide has been reported using chelating bis(amine) ligand based catalyst systems. ${ }^{7}$ However, these systems are not effective for ethene, the simplest and the most important olefinic monomer.

The complex trans $-\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\left(\mathrm{COC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right){ }^{8}{ }^{8} 1$, was found to convert to trans $-\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\left[\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{x}\left(\mathrm{COC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{Me}-p)](x \approx 8),{ }^{9} 2 \mathrm{a}$, upon reaction with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(200 \mathrm{psi})$ and CO (200 psi) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at ambient temperature for 18 h . The species 2 a was fully characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy. For example, in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra (see Figure

[^0]1), the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ unit adjacent to the original $\mathrm{COC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p$ group was present as two triplets (at 3.25 and 2.88 ppm ) downfield of the main $\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{x}$ resonance ( 2.73 ppm ). Conversely, the $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ unit next to the Pd consisted of two broad resonances (at 1.26 and 2.32 ppm ) upfield of the main ( $\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{x}$ resonance. The chemical shift of the $\mathrm{PdCOCH}_{2}$ protons was similar to that of corresponding protons in trans, trans-Pd$\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\left[\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right] \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl}),{ }^{8} 3$, ( 1.38 ppm ). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$-NMR resonance of the new acyl compound, 2 a , (19.6 ppm ) was close to that of the original species, $1(19.3 \mathrm{ppm})$. Most importantly, there were no ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR resonances ascribable to either vinylic or ethyl end groups, confirming that chain termination had not occurred. The lack of chain termination was also shown by the ability of 2 a ( $x \approx 13$ used) to undergo insertion of norbornene into the Pd-acyl bond (eq 1). The inserted product, ${ }^{10} 4$, was found to have NMR parameters that matched those of analogous compounds reported previously ${ }^{11}$ (in particular, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 38.6 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s})$ ). The reverse reaction, i.e., the growth of an alt- $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{CO}$ chain starting with a norbornene inserted product, has also been accomplished; see eq 2 .


When 1 was allowed to react with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$, the species trans $\left.-\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{COC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right)\right](x \approx 7)$, $\mathbf{2 b}$, was formed in which the acyl carbonyl resonated at 235.5 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum. This resonance was significantly downfield of the other carbonyl groups of the $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{x}$ segment ( 207.7 ppm ) but was comparable to the corresponding carbonyl resonance of trans,trans $-\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})-$ $\left[\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{10} \mathrm{CO}\right] \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})(236.6 \mathrm{ppm}),{ }^{8} 5$. When species $\mathbf{2 b}$ was allowed to react with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$, the resonance at 235.5 ppm disappeared as a "diblock" (with blocks of isotopomers) polymeric species, trans $-\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\left[\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{y}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{x}\left(\mathrm{COC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right)\right](x \approx 7, y \approx 5)$, 2 c , was formed. As expected, the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups in the $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{x}$ block appeared as a doublet in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra due to coupling with the neighboring ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$, whereas the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups in the $\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{x}$ block appeared as a singlet.
The species $2 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ were quite stable since their NMR spectra did not change upon isolation as solids followed by redissolution in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Upon further exposure to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and CO in solution at ambient temperature for 18 h , high molecular weight $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{CO}$ copolymer precipitated out. The latter polymer is known to be insoluble in all but the most strongly acidic solvents in which the polymer chain is expected to cleave off the metal. In sharp contrast to the neutral Pd -acyl compounds, the use of the corresponding cationic species, trans- $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}($ solv $)(\mathrm{COR})^{+}$, resulted in rapid polymer formation under the same conditions. However, upon isolation, the resultant E-CO copolymer chains were invariably found to have end groups consistent with a $\beta$-hydrogen abstraction step. ${ }^{\text {1a,b }}$ Since ligand substitutions in these square-planar complexes tend to proceed by an associative mechanism, ${ }^{12}$ the lack of chain termination in the neutral complex is presumably due to the blocking of one of the

[^1]coordination positions by the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion. Preliminary experiments indicate that this is a general phenomenon, and the complex cis- $\mathrm{Pd}\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right](\mathrm{Cl})(\mathrm{COMe})$ behaved analogously. Note that, in contrast to previous reports on the insertion of other olefins into Pd (II) - acyl bonds, ${ }^{7,11,13}$ there is no evidence in the present instances for the coordination of the acyl oxygen following insertion of ethene (e.g., the insertion products have the same trans structures as the starting $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-acyl complexes).
The ability to grow an alt- $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{CO}$ block starting with a welldefined $\mathrm{Pd}-$ acyl complex opens up the possibility of synthesizing graft polymers. The possibility of synthesizing such polymers was explored in two prototype reactions. In the first reaction, the species trans, trans- $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\left[\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{a} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right] \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})(a \approx 13),{ }^{8} 6(50 \mathrm{mg}$ in 2 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), was allowed to react with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(300 \mathrm{psi})$ and CO (300 psi ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 h . At the end of this period, the precipitated polymer was isolated ( 98 mg ), subjected to Soxhlet extraction for 24 h with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to remove $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ species and residual poly(ethene oxide) ( 12 mg ), dissolved in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, and analyzed by NMR spectroscopy. A symmetrical graft triblock polymer, $\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{b} \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{a} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{b}(a \approx 13, b \approx 24)$, was indicated from the spectra (e.g., ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)(\mathrm{ppm})$ : 2.88 (bs, $192 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.78 (bs, $52 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The overall transformation represents a near quantitative yield based on 6.

The sequence of steps involved in the second prototype transformation is shown in eq 2. The compound, trans,trans$\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\left[\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{10} \mathrm{CO}\right] \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl}),{ }^{8} 5$, was not very stable. Accordingly, we first synthesized compound $7^{14}$ by the

(2)
insertion of norbornene into the two terminal Pd-acyl bonds (cf. eq 1). Compound 7 could be isolated as a solid, and its NMR parameters matched those of analogous compounds, including $4\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 38.3 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s})\right.$ ). Compound 7, generated in situ, was found to react with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and CO to form

[^2]

Figure 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ spectrum $(0-5 \mathrm{ppm})$ of trans- $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\left[\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{x}\left(\mathrm{COC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right)\right](x \approx 8)$, 2a.

8, ${ }^{15}$ in which $\sim 5 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{CO}$ units were added to each $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of 7. The trans- $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})\left[\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{x}\right.$ end segments of 8 exhibited NMR resonances similar to those for $\mathbf{2 a - c}$ (e.g., ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 19.6 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s})$ ). Pure 7 reacted readily with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and CO and precipitated a polymer in which $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{CO}$ copolymer blocks were appended to the two ends of the original hydrocarbon chain. Substantial decomposition of the Pd species was also observed in this reaction. The reason that the welldefined species, $\mathbf{8}$, was not observed in the latter reaction is that the isolation of pure 7 resulted in the removal of two $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ molecules formed concomitantly. ${ }^{11}$ These were necessary for the formation of 8 .

In conclusion, we have demonstrated for the first time (a) the nonterminating alternating copolymerization of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ with CO and (b) a procedure for the synthesis of graft polymers with alt $-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CO}$ blocks. The only related polymer reported previously was formed by a nickel(II) sytem that is specific for diblock (polyethene)(alt-ethene-carbon monoxide) polymer. ${ }^{16}$ Our results show that alt- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CO}$ blocks can be grafted on to any carboxy-terminated polymer.
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(14) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}):-0.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.28-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )(ppm); 24.5 (s); 28.8 (s); 29.0 (s); 29.1 (s); 29.2 (s); 35.3 (s); 36.2 (s); 39.8 (s); 43.2 (s); 43.5 (s); 54.0 (s); 70.8 (s); 128.3-134.9; 235.1 (s). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}): 38.3$ (s).
(15) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.25$ (bs, 6H); 1.59 (m, 4H); 2.04 (m, 1H); 2.19 (t, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ); $2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.56(\mathrm{bs}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.70(\mathrm{bs}, 36 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}) ;$ $7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}): 24.0(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ 24.4 (s); 29.1 (s); 29.2 (s); 29.4 (s); 29.5 (s); 36.0 (s); 36.2 (s); 39.5 (s); 39.8 (s); 41.1 (s); 42.7 (s); 42.9 (s); 59.3 (s); 128.3-135.2; 208.1 (s). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}): 19.6$ (s).
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